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#### Abstract

The complex triplet potential energy surface for the reaction of ground-state atomic carbon $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with propylene $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is explored at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p), QCISD/6-311G(d,p), and G3B3 (single-point) levels. Various possible reaction pathways are probed. It is shown that the reaction is initiated by the addition of $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ to generate barrierlessly the three-membered ring isomer $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH} 2$, followed by the ring-opening process to form $\mathbf{2 a}$ trans $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$, which can easily interconvert to $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}$ cis $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$. Starting from $2(\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b})$, the most feasible pathway is the internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rupture of $\mathbf{2 a}$ leading to $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, terminal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage of $\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b})$ to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, or direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond fission of $\mathbf{2 b}$ to form $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, all of which may have comparable contributions to the title reaction. Much less competitively, 2a takes a 1,2-H-shift to form 5a trans-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}$, followed by a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond rupture leading to $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$. Because the intermediates and transition states involved in the feasible pathways all lie below the reactant, the title reaction is expected to be rapid, which is consistent with the measured large rate constant. The present article may provide some useful information for future experimental investigation of the title reaction.


## 1. Introduction

Carbon is believed to be the fourth most abundant element in universe and is ubiquitous in dense interstellar clouds (ISCs) where the temperature is very low. The reactions of groundstate atomic carbon $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with unsaturated hydrocarbons are of great importance in interstellar chemistry, ${ }^{1,2}$ hydrocarbon syntheses, ${ }^{3,4}$ and combustion processes. ${ }^{5-7}$ Accordingly, a large number of experimental and theoretical investigations have been reported on the atomic carbon $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ reactions with a variety of unsaturated hydrocarbons as well as their radicals such as acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right),{ }^{8-12}$ ethylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right),{ }^{13}$ propylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right),{ }^{14-17}$ trans-butylene (trans- $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), ${ }^{17,18}$ allene $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}\right),{ }^{17,19-21}$ propyne $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}\right)$, , ${ }^{17,21,22}$ diacetylene $(\mathrm{HCCCCH}),{ }^{23}$ 1,3-butadiene $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCHCHCH}_{2}\right),{ }^{24}$ 1,2-butadiene $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCCHCH}_{3}\right),{ }^{25}$ propargyl $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right),{ }^{26}$ allyl $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right),{ }^{27}$ and so forth.

Among these studies, the reaction with propylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ attracts our great interest. To our best knowledge, four experimental studies have already been performed on the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction. In 1996, Kaiser et al. ${ }^{14,15}$ investigated the title reaction using the crossed molecular beam technique. In these reports, the authors focused their attention on the methylpropargyl radical, $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ formation channel, which may represent an example of carbon-hydrogen exchange in the reactions of ground-state atomic carbon with unsaturated hydrocarbons. In 1999, Chastaing et al. ${ }^{16}$ reported for the first time the rate constant of the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction over the temperature range ( $15-295 \mathrm{~K}$ ). The measured rate constant values indicate that the title reaction proceeds very rapidly and may play an important role in forming a long carbon chain in the ISM. In 2004, Loison et al. ${ }^{17}$ studied the same reaction at room temperature in a low-pressure fastflow reactor and obtained a rate constant of $k=(2.6 \pm 0.4)$ $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ molecule ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In this article, three channels

[^0]leading to $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ were proposed. In addition, they measured the absolute atomic hydrogen branching ratios of $0.51 \pm 0.08$ and suggested that H - and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-elimination channels may compete with each other. However, without detailed potential energy surface investigations, it is difficult to discuss the mechanism of the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction. Unfortunately, no theoretical study has been performed up to now. Therefore, in the present article, we carried out a detailed theoretical study on the title reaction to gain insight into the reaction mechanism and thereby to explain the experimental results.

## 2. Computational Methods

All calculations are performed using the Guassian 98 program package. ${ }^{28}$ The geometries of all of the reactant, products, intermediates, and transition states are optimized using the B3LYP method in conjunction with the $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Frequency calculations are performed at the same level to check whether the obtained species is an isomer (with all real frequencies) or a transition state (with one and only one imaginary frequency). To obtain more reliable energetic data, single-point energy calculations are performed at the G3B3 ${ }^{29,30}$ level using the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)-optimized geometries and scaled B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) zero-point energies. To confirm that the transition states connect designated intermediates, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations are carried out at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. Furthermore, for the most feasible channels, the structures are optimized at the QCISD/6-311G(d,p) level, followed by the G3B3 single-point energy calculations.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The optimized geometries of reactant and products are depicted in Figure 1, whereas the optimized geometries of


Figure 1. Optimized structures of the reactant and products. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
intermediates and transitions are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The schematic potential energy surface (PES) of the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction at the G3B3//B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level is presented in Figure 4a,b. Table 1 lists the relative energies of reactant, products, intermediates, and transition states. Table 2 displays the vibrational frequencies (inverse centimeters) and moment of inertia (au) of the reactant, some important products, intermediates, and transition states. For convenient discussion, the energy of $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)\right.$ $+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ) is set as zero for reference. The symbol $\mathbf{T S m} / \mathbf{n}$ is used to denote the transition state connecting intermediates $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$. Unless otherwise specified, the G3B3//B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ energies are used throughout. Moreover, for the assessment of the G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) results, the optimized QCISD/6-311G(d,p) geometries of the critical structures are shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding G3B3//QCISD/6-311G(d,p) energies are listed in Table 3.
3.1. Reaction Pathways. The ground-state atomic carbon $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ attacks on the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ lead to the three-membered ring isomer $1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}_{2} .1$ is $52.0 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol more stable than the reactant $\mathbf{R} C\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, which means that the initial association provides $\mathbf{1}$ with enough energy to take subsequent changes. In the following parts, we will discuss the formation pathways of various products proceeding via 1.
I. $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CHHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} c \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH} 2(-52.0)$ can undergo H -elimination to lead to two different weakly bound complexes $\mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\mathrm{cCHCCH} \cdots \mathrm{H}(-6.6)$ and $11 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cC}(\cdots \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CCH}_{2}(-9.4)$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ can dissociate to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}$ $+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(-7.0)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}+{ }_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(-9.6)$, respectively. The values in parentheses are G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) relative energies in kilocalories per mole with respect to reactant $\mathbf{R C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}(0.0)$. The formation pathways of $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ can be written as

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{1}$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow P_{1}
$$

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{1 1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2}
$$

II. $\boldsymbol{P}_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C C H}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. $1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}_{2}(-52.0)$ undergoes $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ elimination to lead to $\mathbf{P}_{3} \mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ( -14.9 ). Such a simple process can be written as

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{3}$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{3}
$$

III. $\boldsymbol{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C C C H}_{2}+{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{H}\right)$. From Figure 4a, we find that five pathways are possible to form $\mathbf{P}_{4}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ $(-50.1)$. They can be written as

Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(2)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow P_{4}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(3)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{4})$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 b \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow P_{4}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(5)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}
$$

$1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}_{2}(-52.0)$ can transform to $\mathbf{2 a}$ trans$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}(-83.8)$ via a ring-opening process. Subsequently, 2a undergoes H-elimination to form the weakly bound complex
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Figure 2. Optimized structures of the intermediates. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
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Figure 3. Optimized structures of the transition states. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
$3 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\cdots \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ (-49.8) before the final product $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(-50.1)$, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{1})$. Alternatively, $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ can be produced via $6 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCHCH}_{2}(-85.4)$ through H-elimination. Intermediate 6 can be formed through four
channels, that is, (i) successive 1,3- and 1,2-H-shift of 2a to form $4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCH}_{2}(-89.8)$ and 6, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})$, (ii) successive $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-shift, cis-trans isomerization, and $1,3-\mathrm{H}$-shift to produce 5a trans-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.3), \mathbf{5 b}$ trans-trans-
(a)

(b)


Figure 4. (a) Schematic potential energy surface (PES) of the most favorable products $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathrm{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathrm{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ $\left.+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, and $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ for the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction. (b) Schematic PES of the unfavorable products $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $\mathrm{P}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CCCCH} \mathrm{C}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{P}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}\right)$, and $\mathrm{P}_{9}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ for the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.6)$, and $\mathbf{6}$, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{3})$, (iii) continuous $1,2-$ and $1,3-\mathrm{H}$-shift of $\mathbf{2 a}$ to form 5b and $\mathbf{6}$ as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{4})$, (iv) 2,3-H-shift of 2a to form $\mathbf{6}$ as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{4})$.

For $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4}$ conversion, only one barrier $39.3(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{3})$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ needs to climbed in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1})$, whereas more barriers have to be surmounted in the latter four pathways,
that is, $41.8(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}), 49.5(\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{6})$, and $40.1\left(\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2}), 49.2(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 a}), 46.2(\mathbf{5 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{6})$, and 40.1 $\left(\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{3}), 57.0(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 b}), 46.2(\mathbf{5 b}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{6}$ ), and $40.1\left(\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{4})$, and 58.3 $(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{6})$ and $40.1\left(6 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{5})$. Obviously, the optimal channel to form $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ is Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{1})$.
IV. $\boldsymbol{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \boldsymbol{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C H C C H}+{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{H}\right)$. For product $\mathbf{P}_{5}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$ $+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(-47.2)$, there are three possible energetic pathways

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow P_{5}
$$

## Path $\mathrm{P}_{5}(2)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 2 b \rightarrow P_{5}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(3)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{c} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~d} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{5}
$$

2a trans $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}(-83.8)$ can easily interconvert to $\mathbf{2 b}$ cis $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}(-83.4)$; then, both 2a and $\mathbf{2 b}$ can lead to $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rupture, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1})$ and Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$. The formation of 5a trans-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.3)$ is the same as that in $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{3})$. 5a can continuously isomerize to $\mathbf{5 c}$ ciscis $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{C H C H C H}(-82.0)$ and then to $\mathbf{5 d}$ cis-trans$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-85.4)$, followed by H-elimination to generate $\mathbf{P}_{5}$, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{3})$.

In Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{3})$, two high barriers need to be surmounted from $\mathbf{2 a}$ to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$, that is, 49.2 and $41.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 d} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$ conversions, respectively, yet only one barrier 41.0 ( $\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathbf{P}_{5}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1})$ and $40.6\left(\mathbf{2 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{5}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{2})$ is needed. Therefore, Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{3})$ should be less competitive than $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ and $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$, which may compete with each other.
V. $\boldsymbol{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \boldsymbol{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{2}}+{ }^{3} \boldsymbol{C H}_{3} \boldsymbol{C H}\right)$. For product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ (-35.4), two pathways are energetically possible.

Path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(1)$

$$
\mathrm{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{6}
$$

Path $\mathrm{P}_{6}(2)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{c} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{6}
$$

The formation of 5a trans-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{C H C H C H}(-82.3)$ is the same as that in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{( 3 )}$. Subsequently, 5a can undergo either direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond rupture to form $\mathbf{P}_{6}$, as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$, or cistrans isomerization to give $\mathbf{5 c}$ cis-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.0)$, followed by dissociation to form $\mathbf{P}_{6}$, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{2})$.

For $\mathbf{5 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}$ conversion, two barriers need to be climbed in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$, that is, 16.2 and $51.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the steps of $\mathbf{5 a}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 c} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}$, respectively, yet only one barrier 52.4 ( $\mathbf{5 a}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}$ ) $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ is needed to surmount in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$. Then, we expect that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$ should be the optimal channel to form $\mathrm{P}_{6}$.
VI. $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. There are two feasible pathways to produce $\mathbf{P}_{7}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}(-56.9)$, which can be depicted as

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
\text { Path } P_{7}(2) \quad R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 a \rightarrow 5 c \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow P_{7}
$$

The formation of $\mathbf{2 b}$ cis $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}(-83.4)$ is the same as that in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$. Subsequently, 2b undergoes $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage to yield $\mathbf{P}_{7}$, as in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{1})$. The barrier for $\mathbf{2 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{7}$ conversion is $36.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. In path $\mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{2})$, the formation of $\mathbf{5 c}$ cis-cis $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{C H C H C H}(-82.0)$ is the same as that in Path $\mathbf{P}_{5}(\mathbf{2})$. Then, 5c undergoes a $2,3-\mathrm{H}$-shift to form $7 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ ( -46.1 ), followed by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage to lead to $\mathbf{P}_{7}$. The high barrier $67.6(\mathbf{5 c} \rightarrow \mathbf{7}) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ involved in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{2})$ makes it less competitive than $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{1})$.

TABLE 1: Total (au) and Relative Energies in Parentheses (kilocalories per mole) of the Reactant, Products, Intermediates, and Transition States for the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) Levels

| species | B3LYP | G3B3 |  | species | B3LYP | G3B3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ | -155.8000368 | -155.6178692 | (0.0) | TS1/2a | -155.8701580 | -155.6809243 | (-39.6) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.8024541 | -155.6290539 | (-7.0) | TS1/10 | -155.8025851 | -155.6266115 | (-5.5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.8078289 | -155.6331102 | (-9.6) | TS1/11 | -155.8068104 | -155.6277462 | (-6.2) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | -155.8228438 | -155.6415604 | (-14.9) | TS1/P3 | -155.8175318 | -155.6316477 | (-8.6) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.8752393 | -155.6976681 | (-50.1) | TS2a/2b | -155.9378914 | -155.7437994 | (-79.0) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.8694903 | -155.6931650 | (-47.2) | TS2a/3 | -155.8725119 | -155.6888489 | (-44.5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ | -155.8552952 | -155.6742567 | (-35.4) | TS2a/4 | -155.8730956 | -155.6847667 | (-42.0) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | -155.8911135 | -155.7084670 | $(-56.9)$ | TS2a/5a | -155.8602317 | -155.6730691 | (-34.6) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ | -155.7858926 | -155.6055080 | (7.8) | TS2a/5b | -155.8499603 | -155.6606230 | (-26.8) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{9}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ | -155.8131105 | -155.6341069 | $(-10.2)$ | TS2a/6 | -155.8476532 | -155.6585450 | (-25.5) |
| 1 | -155.8906157 | -155.7007989 | (-52.0) | TS2a/P ${ }_{5}$ | -155.8679075 | -155.6860213 | (-42.8) |
| 2a | -155.9445822 | -155.7513619 | (-83.8) | TS2b/P ${ }_{5}$ | -155.8678899 | -155.6861128 | (-42.8) |
| 2b | -155.9435455 | -155.7507397 | $(-83.4)$ | TS2b/P ${ }_{7}$ | -155.8802263 | -155.6924323 | (-46.8) |
| 3 | -155.8754285 | -155.6972995 | (-49.8) | TS4/6 | -155.8710656 | -155.6820832 | (-40.3) |
| 4 | -155.9516311 | -155.7609420 | (-89.8) | TS5a/5b | -155.9325716 | -155.7426641 | (-78.3) |
| 5a | -155.9400304 | -155.7489751 | (-82.3) | TS5a/5c | -155.9113882 | -155.7231904 | (-66.1) |
| 5b | -155.9407140 | -155.7495029 | $(-82.6)$ | TS5a/8 | -155.8286435 | -155.6487535 | (-19.4) |
| 5c | -155.9392554 | -155.7485115 | (-82.0) | TS5a/P6 | -155.8480027 | -155.6655362 | (-29.9) |
| 5d | -155.9408877 | -155.7497830 | (-82.8) | TS5b/6 | -155.8631758 | -155.6759254 | (-36.4) |
| 6 | -155.9468650 | -155.7538995 | (-85.4) | TS5c/5d | -155.9326797 | -155.7428369 | (-78.4) |
| 7 | -155.8787106 | -155.6913884 | (-46.1) | TS5c/7 | -155.8294587 | -155.6407892 | (-14.4) |
| 8 | -155.8800735 | -155.6923504 | (-46.7) | TS5c/P6 | -155.8487022 | -155.6663904 | (-30.4) |
| 9 | -155.8132832 | -155.6345247 | (-10.5) | TS5d/P ${ }_{5}$ | 155.8658829 | -155.6844717 | (-41.8) |
| 10 | -155.8026574 | -155.6283747 | (-6.6) | TS5d/P8 | -155.7801320 | -155.5955092 | (14.0) |
| 11 | -155.8079694 | -155.6328777 | (-9.4) | TS6/P4 | -155.8730725 | -155.6900799 | (-45.3) |
|  |  |  |  | TS7/P ${ }_{7}$ | -155.8378281 | -155.6499807 | (-20.2) |
|  |  |  |  | TS8/9 | -155.8091742 | -155.6247063 | (-4.3) |

TABLE 2: Vibrational Frequencies and Moment of Inertia of Reactant, Some Important Products, Intermediates, and Transition States at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) Level of Theory

| species | moment of inertia (au) |  |  | frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 38.4 | 194.5 | 221.8 | 205, 425, 591, 924, 942, 948, 1030, 1071, 1189, 1327, 1408, 1449, $1481,1495,1713,3013,3056,3092,3120,3127,3208$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}$ | 17.5 | 499.8 | 506.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 23,179,206,383,412,667,772,1030,1031,1052,1261,1414, \\ & 1465,1473,1474,2148,3006,3055,3072,3132,3219 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$ | 46.4 | 407.9 | 443.1 | $92,211,381,435,552,598,645,868,1007,1098,1152,1390$, 1404, 1477, 1494, 2015, 2997, 3032, 3111, 3148, 3469 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ | 13.0 | 73.5 | 75.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 191,761,994,1071,1101,1388,1454,1454,2943,2978,3045 \text {, } \\ & 3206 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.0 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 642, 642, 773, 773, 2070, 3421, 3524 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ | 6.2 | 188.5 | 194.7 | 352, 403, 469, 638, 682, 1031, 1089, 1456, 2011, 3139, 3230, 3468 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 6.3 | 6.3 | 12.6 | $505,1403,1403,3104,3283,3283$ |
| 1 | 93.6 | 278.7 | 307.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 211,333,387,639,844,879,900,994,1029,1064,1091,1117 \text {, } \\ & 1245,1372,1404,1470,1485,1494,3019,2043,3048,3074 \\ & 3103,3110 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a | 38.4 | 462.1 | 489.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 128,215,255,461,565,742,781,858,962,1020,1113,1175, \\ & 1308,1400,1439,1469,1477,1509,2999,3032,3036,3056 \text {, } \\ & 3111,3178 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2b | 79.5 | 362.9 | 431.3 | ```99, 191, 399, 490, 516, 746, 776, 869, 994, 1012, 1072, 1126, 1347, 1388, 1437, 1467, 1475, 1501, 3003, 3044, 3054, 3104, 3124, 3172``` |
| 3 | 49.6 | 499.8 | 538.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 20,34,62,101,179,207,207,380,412,668,773,1029,1029 \\ & 1054,1262,1414,1464,1472,1474,2144,3009,3057,3075 \\ & 3134,3221 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5a | 45.0 | 426.6 | 460.5 | 148, 218, 287, 483, 582, 746, 842, 921, 927, 1026, 1119, 1215, 1243, 1349, 1410, 1459, 1474, 1505, 2997, 3031, 3081, 3090, 3144, 3222 |
| TS1/2a | 75.5 | 357.6 | 374.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 493 i, 87,300,405,545,641,764,893,939,1015,1080,1118 \text {, } \\ & 1229,1365,1388,1441,1477,1488,3006,3057,3062,3072 \text {, } \\ & 3122,3163 \end{aligned}$ |
| TS2a/2b | 52.2 | 443.7 | 484.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 256 \mathrm{i}, 107,348,379,507,759,816,835,954,1013,1055,1108 \\ & 1338,1390,1452,1476,1484,1587,2998,3015,3031,3040 \\ & 3075,3113 \end{aligned}$ |
| TS2a/3 | 34.1 | 498.7 | 521.7 |  |
| TS2a/5a | 40.6 | 453.2 | 482.7 |  |
| TS2a/P ${ }_{5}$ | 52.6 | 444.9 | 486.3 |  |
| TS2b/P ${ }_{5}$ | 67.3 | 412.7 | 468.8 | $517 \mathrm{i}, 89,172,213,336,394,440,564,625,749,870,1006,1095$, $1146,1382,1401,1476,1493,1962,3001,3039,3117,3145$, 3454 |
| TS2b/P $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ | 95.9 | 489.1 | 572.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 475 i, 21,121,327,346,406,484,495,525,690,710,825,1011 \text {, } \\ & 1082,1413,1420,1452,1864,3089,3115,3200,3247,3256 \\ & 3400 \end{aligned}$ |
| TS5a/P6 | 62.9 | 589.3 | 640.9 |  |

VII. $\boldsymbol{P}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), \mathrm{P}_{9}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{5}\right)$. Only one feasible pathway is associated with the formation of $\mathbf{P}_{8}{ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}$ $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(7.8)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{9}{ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(-10.2)$, which can be written as

Path $\mathbf{P}_{8}$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 a \rightarrow 5 c \rightarrow 5 d \rightarrow P_{8}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$

$$
\mathrm{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{9}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ is very similar to Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{( 3 )}$. The difference lies in the last dissociation step; that is, in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{3}), \mathbf{5 d}$ cis-trans$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.8)$ leads to $\mathbf{P}_{5}{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(-47.2)$ via the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rupture, whereas in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}, 5 \mathbf{5}$ gives rise
to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ via the concerted 1,2- H -shift and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond rupture. The barrier for the step of $\mathbf{7 d} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ is $96.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
In Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$, 5a trans-cis- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCHCH}(-82.3)$ undergoes a 2,3-H-shift to give $\mathbf{8} v$ - $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}(-46.7)$ with the barrier of $62.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{8}$ can undergo internal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond rupture to form the weakly bound complex $9 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \cdots \mathrm{CCH}$ $(-10.5)$ before the final product $\mathbf{P}_{9}$. The barrier for the step of $\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9}$ is $42.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

## 4. Reaction Mechanism

In the preceding sections, we have obtained nine products, that is $\mathbf{P}_{1}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{2}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH} 2+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. For easier


Figure 5. Optimized structures of the species involved in the most feasible channels at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and QCISD/6-311G(d,p) (in italic) levels.

TABLE 3: Total (au) and Relative Energies in Parentheses (kilocalories per mole) of the Critical Structures for the $\mathbf{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathbf{P}\right)+$ $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ Reaction

| species | G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) |  | G3B3//QCISD/6-311G(d,p) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ | -155.6178692 | (0.0) | -155.6171371 | (0.0) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.6976681 | (-50.1) | -155.6971700 | (-50.2) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | -155.6931650 | (-47.2) | -155.6928531 | (-47.5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ | -155.6742567 | (-35.4) | -155.6736507 | (-35.5) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | -155.7084670 | (-56.9) | -155.7083056 | (-57.2) |
| 1 | -155.7007989 | (-52.0) | -155.6990873 | (-51.4) |
| 2a | -155.7513619 | (-83.8) | -155.7505645 | (-83.7) |
| 2b | -155.7507397 | (-83.4) | -155.7500858 | (-83.4) |
| 3 | -155.6972995 | (-49.8) | -155.6972234 | (-50.3) |
| 5a | -155.7489751 | (-82.3) | -155.7480220 | (-82.1) |
| TS1/2a | -155.6809243 | (-39.6) | -155.6787693 | (-38.7) |
| TS2a/2b | -155.7437994 | (-79.0) | -155.7430849 | (-79.0) |
| TS2a/3 | -155.6888489 | (-44.5) | -155.6879900 | (-44.5) |
| TS2a/5a | -155.6730691 | (-34.6) | -155.6724964 | (-34.7) |
| TS2a/P ${ }_{5}$ | -155.6860213 | (-42.8) | -155.6847208 | (-42.4) |
| TS2b/P $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ | -155.6861128 | (-42.8) | -155.6849160 | (-42.5) |
| TS2b/P $\mathbf{7}_{7}$ | -155.6924323 | (-46.8) | -155.6919340 | (-46.9) |
| TS5a/P6 | -155.6655362 | (-29.9) | -155.6640196 | (-29.4) |

discussion, the most feasible formation pathways of these nine products are listed again

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{1}$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow P_{1}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{2}$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{3}$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{3}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4}
$$

Path $\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{5}
$$

Path $\mathrm{P}_{5}(2)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 2 b \rightarrow P_{5}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(1)$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 a \rightarrow P_{6}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{1})$

$$
\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{a} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{7}
$$

Path $\mathbf{P}_{8}$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 a \rightarrow 5 c \rightarrow 5 d \rightarrow P_{8}
$$

## Path $\mathbf{P}_{9}$

$$
R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 a \rightarrow 5 a \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow P_{9}
$$

Product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ (7.8) with positive relative energies is surely thermodynamically not accessible. Products $\mathbf{P}_{1}(-7.0), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ $(-9.6), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}(-14.9)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}(-10.2)$ lie rather high; this thermodynamically prevents their experimental observation with detected yields relative to $\mathbf{P}_{4}(-50.1), \mathbf{P}_{5}(-47.2), \mathbf{P}_{6}(-35.4)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ (-56.9). As for products $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ should be the least feasible product because of the high barriers 49.2 $(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{5 a})$ and $52.4\left(\mathbf{5 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ involved in $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$. It seems very difficult to compare the feasibility of $\mathbf{P a t h} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1})$, Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1})$, Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$, and Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{1})$ because the barriers $39.3(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1}), 41.0\left(\mathbf{2 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1}), 40.6\left(\mathbf{2 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$, and 36.6 $\left(\mathbf{2 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{7}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{1})$ are very close. Then, we
tentatively expect that these four pathways may have comparable contribution to the title reaction.

As a result, reflected in the final products, a total of four kinds of products may be observed. $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}$ are the most favorable products, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ is the much less competitive product. The branching ratios of $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ may be twice as much as that of $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{7}$.

## 5. Comparison with Experiments

There have been four experimental studies concerning the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction. The studies by Kaiser et al. ${ }^{14,15}$ indicate that the title reaction is initiated by the addition of carbon atom to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ to form methylcyclopropylidene, followed by ring-opening to 1,2-butadiene. Subsequently, 1,2butadiene can undergo H -elimination lead to $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}$. Methylcyclopropylidene, 1,2-butadiene, and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}$ correspond to $\mathbf{1} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, 2a trans $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ or $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, respectively, in our theoretical studies. Obviously, the experimental findings obtained by Kaiser et al. are in excellent agreement with our calculation results. In addition, the overall barrierless association, isomerization, and dissociation processes of the title reaction can account for the large rate constant obtained by Chastaing et al. ${ }^{16}$ Furthermore, Loison et al. ${ }^{17}$ studied the same reaction at room temperature, and three channels leading to products H $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ are proposed. Moreover, on the basis of the absolute atomic hydrogen branching ratios of $0.51 \pm 0.08$, Loison et al. suggested that H - and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-elimination channels may compete with each other. Products $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ correspond to $\mathbf{P}_{4}, \mathbf{P}_{5}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}$, respectively. In this aspect, the results by Loison et al. agree well with our theoretical calculations. However, on the basis of our results, Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1})$, Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1})$, Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{2})$, and Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{1})$ may have comparable contribution to the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction. In other words, among the final product distributions, the atomic hydrogen should have a larger branching ratio than that of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$. The experimental measured absolute atomic hydrocarbon production of $0.51 \pm 0.08$ may be underestimated. In view of these discrepancies, further reinvestigation of the title reaction is still desirable.

## 6. Interstellar Implications

Because both $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ and propylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ have been detected in the interstellar medium (ISM), the reaction between each other may have important implications. It is long known that reactions of zero or minute barriers are generally favored in the ISM. Then, the barrierless nature for the reaction of $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ makes the title reaction proceed very easily and lead to the major products $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The structure isomers $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}$ play important roles in pyrolysis of unsaturated hydrocarbons ${ }^{31}$ and have received much attention. ${ }^{32-38}$ The H atom is important in the H -containing system. The smallest conjugated hydrocarbon radical, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, has received considerable attention because of its involvement in the ISM, ${ }^{39-41}$ combustion flames, ${ }^{42-44}$ and planetary atmosphere. ${ }^{45}$ Up to now, a large number of studies have been reported on the $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ radical. ${ }^{46-52}$ The $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radical is also an important intermediate in the ISM driven by cosmic-ray ionization ${ }^{53}$ as well as in the combustion process. ${ }^{54}$ All of these aspects reinforce the importance of the title reaction in the ISM.

## 7. Reliability Assessment

We performed test calculations on the species $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), \mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{6}$ $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{3}$, 5a, TS1/2a, TS2a/2b, TS2a/3, TS2a/5a, TS2a/P $\mathbf{P}_{5}$, TS2b/P $\mathbf{P}_{5}$, TS2b/ $\mathbf{P}_{7}$, and $\mathbf{T S 5 a} / \mathbf{P}_{6}$, which involved the most feasible pathways at the QCISD/6-311G(d,p) level, followed by the G3B3 single-point energy calculations. Surely, the high-level and more expensive method QCISD is expected to be superior to the B3LYP method. As shown in Figure 5, the structural parameters at both levels are generally in good agreement with each other. Most importantly, as shown in Table 3, the G3B3//B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) relative energies for these 18 species agree well with the corresponding G3B3//QCISD/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ values with the largest deviation $0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of TS1/2a. Therefore, we expect that the G3B3//B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ method can provide reliable information for the $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reaction.

## 8. Conclusions

A detailed potential energy surface for the reaction of ground-state atomic carbon $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ was investigated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and G3B3 (single-point) levels. The main results can be summarized as follows: The $\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ can barrierlessly attack the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ to form the three-membered ring isomer $1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCHCCH}_{2}$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{1}$ undergoes various isomerization and dissociation pathways leading to nine dissociation products. Among these nine products, $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CCCH}_{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}$ $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ should be the most feasible products and $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ should be the least feasible product. Other products, $\mathbf{P}_{1}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cCHCCH}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{cCCCH} 2+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}+\right.$ $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCC}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ may have undetected yields. We expect that our results may be useful for deeply understanding the mechanism of the title reaction.
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